

☐ SECRET

Approved For Release

2006/06/23

☐ CONFIDENTIAL

CENTRAL

78B04770A000500010067-4

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

DDR-DUPE

0000

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

DDR-DUPE

0000

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

DDR-DUPE

0000

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

DDR-DUPE

0000

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

DDR-DUPE

0000

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

DDR-DUPE

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT
BRANCH/PD/OL

DATE

2 February 1966

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

Indefinite

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Equipment and Studies (Series of Projects under our contract)

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☒ YES☐ NOTHE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE☐ YES☒ NO☐ COST☐ PERFORMANCE☒ DELIVERYNOTE:
USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.
FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY2. ☐ EXCELLENT4. ☐ AVERAGE6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

Series of projects, described on reverse.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE☐ TERMINATE☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

31 January

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

DDR-DUPE

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

SIGNATURE OF APPROVER

☒ SECRET☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2006/06/23 : CIA-RDP78B04770A000500010067-4

NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM☐ FINAL

PAR 207 "Definitive Study of Contact Printers." Final Report due in February. Proceeding satisfactorily.

PAR 202/224 "Briefing Print Enlarger." Breadboard and tests in final stage. Have waived the final report in order to construct the Prototype which has been authorized as PAR 243. This project is considered outstanding.

PAR 211 "Microdensitometer Study of Effects of Processing." Final Report received on 1 November 1965. Evaluation completed on 31 January. Considered Unsatisfactory.

PAR 212 "Color Acquisition System Review Study." Final Report was received 1 November. Evaluation completed 31 January. Considered Barely Adequate.

PAR 213 "Color Reproduction Systems Review." Final Report was evaluated on 28 December 1965. Considered Below Average.

PAR 216 "Laser Photographic Exposure." Evaluation of Final Report was completed on 29 October 1965. Considered Unsatisfactory.

PAR 217 "Optimization of Lasers." Final Report was received on 1 Nov. 1965. Evaluation was completed on 18 January 1966. Considered Unsatisfactory.

PAR 233 "Zoom Projection Lens." Work has just begun. Visited ☐ on 31 January and 1 February 1966. Proceeding satisfactorily.

PAR 242A "Color Demonstration Materials." Proceeding satisfactorily.

PAR 243 "Briefing Print Enlarger Prototype." Commenced this project in January 1966. Great expectations!

COMMENTS:

PAR 211, PAR 212, PAR 213, PAR 216 and PAR 217 all appear to be challenges not met by this particular division of ☐. They probably should not have been assigned to ☐ as projects since so little has been given in return. Recommend we notify ☐ of general poor quality of research and reporting, then close out these particular projects as "water under the bridge".

Note: PARs 214 and 215 were delivered and installed in NPIC in October/November 1965. They are still undergoing experimental operations although the PARs are completed.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ CONFIDENTIAL☒ SECRET